

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/606,301	Applicant(s) DIESEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon Y. Lum	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

(2) Kurt Briscoe.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2005
Time: ~10:00 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voicemail message indicating potential abandonment of the instant application due to a lack of reply to the Office Action mailed 15 December 2004 was left for Applicant's representative. However, no response to the Office Action or voicemail message has been received.